



**USAID**  
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

## PARTNERSHIP FOR RESILIENCE AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

# Targeting and Layering Progress Review Meeting for Samburu County

## Introduction

The Partnership for Resilience and Economic Growth brings together humanitarian and development partners to build resilience among vulnerable pastoralist communities in northern Kenya. It includes USAID programs and implementing partners in nine (9) Arid and Semi-Arid Land (ASAL) counties and builds on community-identified strengths and priorities.

The main purpose of targeting and layering is to embrace data-driven decision-making among PREG partners to select layering sites and activities. The model is expected to help PREG partners use data systematically and intelligently to select sites of convergence and support the coordination of implementation, accountability, and reporting on layering activities. The targeting process defines how to gather and analyze sufficient data and information to guide partners to draw informed conclusions regarding selecting the most appropriate sites for layering and convergence of services through collective impact, sequencing, layering, and integration to improve the attainment of resilience outcomes.

Last year, USAID instituted Targeting and Layering in Samburu county in its new programming approach and partnership with Samburu county government. Through that initiative, vulnerable wards were selected in Samburu county for partners to layer their activities. Therefore, the Targeting and Layering progress review meeting sought to take stock of progress made in implementing activities in the selected sites.

## Workshop objectives

The objectives of the Targeting and Layering progress review workshop were to:

- Review progress made in the implementation of activities in the selected sites for Samburu county.
- Reflect on the process of selection of layering sites.
- Take stock of learnings from the targeting and layering to inform future programming.

## Partner Activities in Samburu County Layering Sites

Samburu county layering sites were identified using the percentage of individuals below the poverty line, unimproved water sources, unimproved sanitation, humanitarian caseloads, and wasting. These parameters were weighted and ranked using the application of the scoring tool and targeting framework. The most vulnerable wards in Samburu county were Wamba North, Wamba West, and Waso. Below is a summary of activities by partners in Samburu county on the above layering sites.

Partners	Wamba North	Wamba West	Waso
<b>NAWIRI</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Research study ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Research study ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Research study ongoing</li> </ul>
<b>ACTED</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Animal Health</li> <li>- Disaster risk management</li> <li>- WASH interventions</li> <li>- Kitchen gardening</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Disaster risk management</li> <li>- WASH interventions</li> <li>- Kitchen gardening</li> <li>- Animal health</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- NA</li> <li>- Animal health</li> </ul>
<b>AFYA TIMIZA</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Family planning, reproductive health</li> <li>- Nutrition</li> <li>- WASH and Nutrition</li> <li>- Support health facilities</li> <li>- SBCC</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Family planning, reproductive health</li> <li>- Nutrition</li> <li>- WASH and Nutrition</li> <li>- Support health facilities</li> <li>- SBCC</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Family planning, reproductive health</li> <li>- Nutrition</li> <li>- WASH and Nutrition</li> <li>- Support health facilities</li> <li>- SBCC</li> </ul>
<b>WFP</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supply of Nutrition treatment commodities (MAM)</li> <li>- Institutional capacity strengthening</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supply of Nutrition treatment commodities</li> <li>- Institutional capacity strengthening</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supply of Nutrition treatment commodities</li> <li>- Institutional capacity strengthening</li> </ul>
<b>TUSOME</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Training of grade 1-3 teachers and headteachers in all public primary school</li> <li>- Training of curriculum support officers</li> <li>- M-shule SMS lessons activity</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Training of grade 1-3 teachers and headteachers in all public primary school</li> <li>- Training of curriculum support officers</li> <li>- M-shule SMS lessons activity</li> <li>- Provision CBC textbooks for English and Kiswahili to all public primary schools</li> <li>- Classroom observation support to teachers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Training of grade 1-3 teachers and headteachers in all public primary school</li> <li>- Training of curriculum support officers</li> <li>- M-Shule SMS lessons activity</li> </ul>

Partners	Wamba North	Wamba West	Waso
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provision CBC textbooks for English and Kiswahili to all public primary schools</li> <li>- Classroom observation support to teachers</li> <li>- Provision of special needs CBC textbooks</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provision of special needs CBC textbooks</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provision CBC textbooks for English and Kiswahili to all public primary schools</li> <li>- Classroom observation support to teachers</li> <li>- Provision of special needs CBC textbooks</li> </ul>
<b>UNICEF</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supply of nutrition treatment commodities (SAM)</li> <li>- Support Baby-friendly community initiatives</li> <li>- Support high-impact nutrition interventions.</li> <li>- Support risk-informed programming</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supply of nutrition treatment commodities (SAM)</li> <li>- Support Baby-friendly community initiatives</li> <li>- Support high-impact nutrition interventions.</li> <li>- Support risk-informed programming</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supply of nutrition treatment commodities (SAM)</li> <li>- Support Baby-friendly community initiatives</li> <li>- Support high-impact nutrition interventions.</li> <li>- Support risk-informed programming</li> </ul>
<b>NRT</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Livelihoods and enterprise</li> <li>- Peace and security.</li> <li>- Rangeland management</li> <li>- Governance (leadership training)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Livelihoods and enterprise</li> <li>- Peace and security.</li> <li>- Rangeland management</li> <li>- Governance (leadership training)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Livelihoods and enterprise</li> <li>- Peace and security.</li> <li>- Rangeland management</li> <li>- Governance (leadership training)</li> </ul>
<b>KRC</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CMDRR trainings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CMDRR training</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Water sites réhabilitation</li> <li>- Hygiène promotion</li> </ul>
<b>BOMA</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rural Entrepreneur Access Program (REAP) model</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- REAP model</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- REAP model</li> </ul>
<b>PRP</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Policy support</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Policy support</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Policy support</li> </ul>
<b>AFYA NYOTA</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- HIV program</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- HIV program</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- HIV program</li> </ul>

## Review of Samburu County Targeting and Layering Activity

Using the below Guideline/Tool for measurement, accountability, and reporting tool derived from the PREG Targeting framework is tailor-made to help obtain feedback, data, and information about the layering activities and progress thereof. Using this information, Samburu PREG partners decided what aspects of the action plan work and what areas need improvement. This helped draw conclusions about targeting and layering and the efforts. Below are findings from the session.

	Key Element	Guiding Discussion Questions	PREG Members Feedback
1.	<b>Baseline information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Did partners agree on any baseline information for the layering sites?</li> <li>• Which indicators were selected for monitoring?</li> <li>• Were any consultations with local communities undertaken to agree on the priorities?</li> <li>• What commitments were made to clarify how the progress against indicators will be used to determine completion or transition from the site?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No baseline</li> <li>- No indicators are set.</li> <li>- No consultation for the baseline.</li> <li>- No commitments.</li> </ul>
2.	<b>Structures</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Were there any structures beyond the PREG partnership formed to monitor progress?</li> <li>• What accountability framework was put in place?</li> <li>• What was the role of government in this framework? What was the role of local communities?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No structures. However, partners have identified existing platforms that can be useful and revived. These include devolved structure (sub-county, ward, and village admins); multi-sectoral platform (MSP)</li> <li>- No accountability framework was set.</li> <li>- Post targeting and layering workshop, there were no clear roles that were set for the government counterparts. However, PREG partners have been an engaging government in different capacities to support mobilization at the ward and village levels. As well as play significant roles in planning, monitoring, being change agents and ambassadors at the ward level.</li> </ul>

	Key Element	Guiding Discussion Questions	PREG Members Feedback
3.	<b>Progress Reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What progress has been realized so far?</li> <li>• How are partners putting together all the crucial information to report progress? Any visualization techniques?</li> <li>• Is the progress information being shared with local communities? How is that being done? (please take a record of any of the progress reports if available)</li> <li>• What innovations, if any, have been deployed to facilitate this?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No progress was reported. The majority of the PREG partners present at this review meeting were not a part of the main Targeting and Layering workshop FY 20.</li> </ul>
4.	<b>Challenges</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What are the main challenges in the implementation of the current workplan?</li> <li>• What actions be taken to address them?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Activities on education for TUSOME were delayed to the closure of schools due to COVID-19.</li> <li>- Fewer partners in the education sector.</li> <li>- COVID-19 brought forth a change in working parameters (work from the home policy). This mainly made joint implementation and follow-up strained.</li> <li>- Organizations scaled down their activities due to COVID-19.</li> <li>- NRT had a high staff turnover, which affected the implementation process.</li> <li>- The delay of activity implementation was due to the delay from the government to allocate budgets timely.</li> <li>- Consistency and commitment of PREG partners.</li> <li>- Schedule a refresher training from all Samburu PREG partners on what was done from the previous TL FY 20, train them on the layering tools developed.</li> <li>- Support PREG partners to develop a simplified monitoring tool that can capture implementation progress.</li> <li>- Collect baseline data plan for a start.</li> <li>- Consolidate activities into a plan.</li> </ul>

	Key Element	Guiding Discussion Questions	PREG Members Feedback
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How is progress in implementation sites be measured? How Can this be improved?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Implementation of the plan</li> <li>- Share the layering plan</li> <li>- Joint implementation of the plan</li> <li>- Identify a focal point person to lead all processes of layering and sequencing.</li> <li>- Consistency on attending the PREG focal person for institution memory.</li> <li>- Layering and integration should be included as a critical agenda item for all PREG monthly meetings.</li> <li>- A quarterly progress review for layering and sequencing is essential.</li> </ul>

## Key Findings, Next Steps, and Action Points

- ❖ PREG partners from Samburu are working in the identified vulnerable sites, however, this effort was not deliberately informed by the outcomes from the targeting and layering workshop FY 20.
- ❖ Majority of the PREG partners that attended the pause and reflect session did not participate in the targeting and layering workshop FY 20.
- ❖ Partners were not privy to the report and tools developed from the targeting and layering workshop from FY 20. As such, PREG learning to share the reports.
- ❖ The offboarding and onboarding process need to be improved, as this affects the handing over process to incoming or existing partners.
- ❖ There is need for Joint monitoring visit involving partners, communities, government representatives.
- ❖ Layering updates need to a common agenda in all PREG monthly meetings.
- ❖ There is need to ensure consistency in attending meeting. With this PREG partners will identify two individuals from each project to ensure there is consistency and communication within the organizations.
- ❖ Have regular thematic meetings, develop work plan and sector leads to update entire PREG team during monthly meetings.
- ❖ Organize for quarterly progress review meetings.
- ❖ Develop calendar of events for County PREG activities to be shared and updated during monthly meetings.
- ❖ Organize for a refresher workshop for all PREG partners from Samburu to take them through the outcomes from the previous targeting and layering workshop as well as the tools developed.

## Participant List

	<b>Name</b>	<b>Organization</b>
1.	Charles Songok	WFP
2.	Michelle Wanguku	Tusome
3.	Jacob Barasa	Tusome
4.	Burton Lenanyokie	NRT
5.	Benson Lenanyokie	Afya Timiza
6.	Samiti Leiroya	BOMA
7.	Evans Onyiego	NAWIRI-Mercy Corps
8.	Shallom Magoma	ACTED
9.	Paul Lepiir	Tupime Kaunti
10.	Lydia Maina	KRC
11.	Teresa Wainaina	KRC
12.	Stephen Ajuoga	Afya Nyota
13.	Dennis Mwambi	PRB
12.	James Muita	UNICEF
13.	Yasmin Farah	RLA
14.	Nicholas Omwolo	RLA
15.	Ricky Muriithi	RLA
16.	John Emoni	LMS – AAI